## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

## BEAUMONT DIVISION

ONDREZ DE'CARLOS DOMONECK §

VS. 

§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:22cv481

SHERIFF, JEFFERSON COUNTY §

## MEMORANDUM ORDER OVERRULING PETITIONER'S OBJECTIONS AND ADOPTING THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Petitioner Ondrez De'Carlos Domoneck, an inmate confined at the Jefferson County Correctional Facility, Texas, proceeding *pro se*, brought this petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241.

The Court referred this matter to the Honorable Christine L. Stetson, United States Magistrate Judge, at Beaumont, Texas, for consideration pursuant to applicable laws and orders of this court. The magistrate judge recommends dismissing the petition without prejudice.

The Court has received and considered the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge filed pursuant to such order, along with the record and pleadings. Petitioner filed objections to the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation. This requires a *de novo* review of the objections in relation to the pleadings and the applicable law. *See* FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b).

After careful consideration, the court concludes petitioner's objections should be overruled. As set forth in the Report, petitioner failed to exhaust state habeas remedies prior to filing this petition. Further, petitioner's ignorance of the law is insufficient to show "exceptional circumstances of peculiar urgency" which might excuse exhaustion. *See Deters v. Collins*, 985 F.2d 789, 795 (5th Cir. 1993). Finally, petitioner has failed to demonstrate special circumstances warranting

interference with the ongoing state criminal proceedings. *See Gates v. Strain*, 885 F.3d 874, 880 (5th Cir. 2018); *Kolski v. Watkins*, 544 F.2d 762, 766 (5th Cir. 1977). Accordingly, the petition should be dismissed without prejudice.

Furthermore, petitioner is not entitled to the issuance of a certificate of appealability. An appeal from a judgment denying federal habeas corpus relief may not proceed unless a judge issues a certificate of appealability. See 28 U.S.C. § 2253; FED. R. APP. P. 22(b). The standard for granting a certificate of appealability, like that for granting a certificate of probable cause to appeal under prior law, requires the movant to make a substantial showing of the denial of a federal constitutional right. See Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 483-84 (2000); Elizalde v. Dretke, 362 F.3d 323, 328 (5th Cir. 2004); see also Barefoot v. Estelle, 463 U.S. 880, 893 (1982). In making that substantial showing, the movant need not establish that he should prevail on the merits. Rather, he must demonstrate that the issues are subject to debate among jurists of reason, that a court could resolve the issues in a different manner, or that the questions presented are worthy of encouragement to proceed further. See Slack, 529 U.S. at 483-84. Any doubt regarding whether to grant a certificate of appealability is resolved in favor of the movant, and the severity of the penalty may be considered in making this determination. See Miller v. Johnson, 200 F.3d 274, 280-81 (5th Cir.), cert. denied, 531 U.S. 849 (2000).

Here, petitioner has not shown that any of the issues raised by his claims are subject to debate among jurists of reason. The factual and legal questions advanced by the movant are not novel and have been consistently resolved adversely to his position. In addition, the questions presented are not worthy of encouragement to proceed further. Therefore, petitioner has failed to make a sufficient

showing to merit the issuance of a certificate of appealability. Accordingly, a certificate of

appealability shall not be issued.

<u>ORDER</u>

Accordingly, petitioner's objections are OVERRULED. The findings of fact and conclusions

of law of the magistrate judge are correct and the report of the magistrate judge is ADOPTED. A

final judgment will be entered in this case in accordance with the magistrate judge's

recommendations.

SIGNED this 31st day of March, 2023.

Michael J. Truncale

United States District Judge

Muhad J. Truncale